

Remark: If a and m are not coprime then b and m are also not coprime and the algorithm correctly returns "composite". However, if it returns "possibly prime" it may be wrong.

Consider the following subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_N^*

$$L_N = \{ u \in \mathbb{Z}_N^* : u^{N-1} = 1 \}$$

L_N is a group and Fermat's little theorem says that $L_N = \mathbb{Z}_N^*$ if N is prime. If $L_N \neq \mathbb{Z}_N^*$ then $|L_N| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\mathbb{Z}_N^*|$.

If the a chosen in step 1 is in $\mathbb{Z}_N^* \setminus L_N$, then the test will answer composite. Such an a is called Fermat witness.

If $a \bmod N \in L_N$ then a is a Fermat liare for N .

If we know any witness, we know that N is composite.

The test fails when N is composite and $L_N = \mathbb{Z}_N^*$. An N for which this happens are called Carmichael numbers. These are composite numbers without Fermat witnesses.

The probability failure p_m of the Fermat test (i.e. the probability of selecting a s.t. $a^{m-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$) is $p_m = \frac{|L_m|}{m-1}$

L_N is the kernel of the group homomorphism $x \mapsto x^{N-1}$

Therefore L_N is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$ and so $|L_N| \mid \varphi(N)$

If $L_N \neq (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$ then $|L_N| \leq \frac{\varphi(N)}{2}$ and hence

$$p_N = \frac{|L_N|}{N-1} \leq \frac{|L_N|}{\varphi(N)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

If the test is repeated 10 times, the probability of failing to detect that m is composite is less than $\frac{1}{2^{10}} \approx 0.00098$.

The test fails for Carmichael numbers.

It is based on the following theorem

Theorem (Lefschetz - Rabin). Let m be an odd prime number. Write $m-1 = 2^v m$ where m is an odd integer. \forall integer a coprime to m either $a^m \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ or there exists $i \in [0, v-1]$ s.t. $a^{2^i m} \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$.

Proof: Assume $a^m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. We will show that $\exists i \in [0, v-1]$ s.t. $a^{2^i m} \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$. Since m is odd, the integer $v \geq 1$. If m is prime then $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is a field. $\forall a \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$ we have $a^{2^v m} = a^{m-1} = 1$

Let $\mathcal{X} = \{i \in [0, v] : a^{2^i m} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}\}$. This set is nonempty and $0 \in \mathcal{X}$. Let $i_0 = \min \mathcal{X}$. Then $i_0 \geq 1$.

Since $a^{2^{i_0} m}$ satisfies

$$\left(a^{2^{i_0-1} m}\right)^2 = a^{2^{i_0} m} = 1 \pmod{m}$$

$$\Rightarrow a^{2^{i_0-1} m} \in \{\pm 1\}$$

Since i_0 is minimal $\Rightarrow a^{2^{i_0-1} m} = -1 \pmod{m}$. \blacksquare

Algorithm (Miller-Rabin)

Input: m odd integer, v, m s.t. $m-1 = 2^v m$, $a \in [1, m-1]$

Output: " m composite" or " m probably prime".

$d = \gcd(a, m)$

if $d \neq 1$ then

return " m is composite" and d is a factor"

end if

$b = \text{rem}(a^m, m)$

if $b \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ or $\exists i \in [0, v-1]$ s.t. $b^{2^i m} \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$ then

return " m probably prime"

else

return " m is composite"

end if.

The complexity is the same as the Fermat test. We apply it to randomly chosen $a \in [1, m-1]$. Suppose that m is composite and let's study the probability p_m of selecting a liar i.e. an integer a that does not allow to detect if m is composite. We denote by M_m the set of Miller-Rabin liars.

$$M_m = \{ x \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times : x^m = 1 \text{ or } \exists i \in [0, v-1] \text{ s.t. } x^{2^i m} = -1 \}$$

Theorem: Suppose that m is an odd composite number. Then

$$|M_m| \leq \frac{m-1}{4}$$

$$\text{Therefore } p_m < \frac{1}{4}.$$

strong liars

We will skip the proof.

Complexities:

- Fermat $O(K \log^2 m)$ where K is the number of tests
- Miller-Rabin $O(K \log^3 m)$ where K is the number of tests
- Solovay-Strassen $O(K \log^3 m)$ where K is the number of tests

\Rightarrow The prob failure of Solovay-Strassen is $\leq \frac{1}{2^k}$ (The one of Miller-Rabin is $\leq \frac{1}{4^k}$.)

Brief Recap Polynomials

Algorithms	Complexity
<u>- Multiplication of polynomials</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Karatsuba • FFT 	$O(m^{\log_2 3})$ $O(m \log m)$
<u>- Division of polynomials</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Euclidean algorithm • Fast Euclidean division using modular inverse • Modular inverses with Newton iteration $f^{-1} \text{ mod } x^e$ • Euclidean algorithm to find $\text{gcd}(f, g)$ • Extended Euclidean algorithm to find u, v, g s.t. $g = uf + v$ 	$O(m(m-n))$ $m = \deg F, n = \deg G$ $O(M(m))$ \hookrightarrow complexity of multiplication of two poly of $\deg \leq m$. $O(M(e))$ $O(\deg f \cdot \deg g)$

Algorithms

- Multipoint evaluation of P s.t. $\deg P \leq m-1$ in a_0, \dots, a_{m-1}

Complexity

$$O(M(m) \log m)$$

- Interpolation Lagrange

$$O(m^2)$$

Given $a_0, \dots, a_{m-1}, b_0, \dots, b_{m-1}$
find the unique polynomials

f of $\deg f < m$ s.t. $f(a_i) = b_i$

$$O(M(m) \log m)$$

- Sum of fractions

$$O(M(m) \log m)$$

- Fast interpolation

- Factorization of Polynomials
BERLEKAMP

$$O(m^w + (q+m)M(m) \log m) \quad \text{where } w \text{ is the exponent of matrix multiplication}$$

- Repeated squaring (square and multiply) $F_{\text{rau}} \text{TD9}$
 g^t

$$O(\log t) \quad \text{where } t \text{ is exponent}$$

Primality tests

- Fermat
- Miller-Rabin
- Solovay-Strassen

Probability failures

$$\leq 1/2$$
$$\leq 1/4$$
$$\leq 1/2$$

Theory of Resultant:

- Checking if a polynomial has multiple root
- Intersection of two curves
- Implicitation
- Finding minimal polynomial
- Finding gcd of two polynomials